

JURISDICTIONAL ANNEX 1.2.18: TOWN OF ROSENDALE

Please fill in the contact details for the person filling in this page:	
Name:	Jeanne L. Walsh
Title:	Supervisor
Representing:	Rosendale, Town of
Email:	supervisor@townofrosendale.com
Phone:	658-3159 x-3 & 705-1399

Local Jurisdiction Role/Position	Name	Email	Phone	Date Notified About Mitigation Plan Development Process	Agreed to participate ? (Yes/No)
Land Use/Community Planner	Billy Liggan / David Plante	JohnLigganIns@aol.com / dplante@bergmannpc.com	658-8348 / 585-232-5135 x-703	2/12/2014	N/A
Emergency Manager	Jeanne L. Walsh	supervisor@townofrosendale.com	658-3159 x-3 / 705-1399	2/14/2014	Yes
Floodplain Manager/ Floodplain Administrator	Tom Fiore / Robert Ryan	torbldgdept@hotmail.com / bobuscgr@aol.com	658-3159 x-106 & 235-1710 / 658-7574 & 389-5957	2/14/2014	Yes
Public Works Director / City Engineer	Robert Gallagher / Terry Johnson	highwaysuper@townofrosendale.com / rosendalewaterandsewer@yahoo.com	658-3159 & 705-6749 / 658-8718 & 235-1700	2/14/2014	Yes
Building Code Official	Tom Fiore	torbldgdept@hotmail.com	658-3159 x-106 & 235-1710	2/14/2014	Yes
Fiscal/Budget Officer	Jeanne L. Walsh	supervisor@townofrosendale.com	658-3159 x-3 / 705-1399	1/14/2014	Yes
Manager/Administrator	Jeanne L. Walsh	supervisor@townofrosendale.com	658-3159 x-3 / 705-1399	2/14/2014	Yes
Elected Officials	Robert Ryan	bobuscgr@aol.com	658-7574 & 389-5957	2/14/2014	Yes
Other 1:	John Hughes	mjhughes4@yahoo.com	339-2668 & 430-1990	1/1/2015	Yes
Other 2:	Chris Pryslopski	cpryslopski@gmail.com	658-5396 & 633-4245	2/14/2014	Yes
Other 3:	Jen Metzger	jentmetzger@yahoo.com	658-8967 & 489-0830	2/14/2014	Yes



Ulster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update - **Worksheet #2**

Note: This form should be filled out by your floodplain administrator. All communities participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) provided FEMA with a floodplain management ordinance and a designated floodplain administrator as a prerequisite to enter the program. All communities are listed in FEMA's Community Status Book of participating communities (08/15/13).

Adoption Date of your Current Floodplain Management Ordinance	Date of Entry into NFIP ¹	Position or Title of Your Jurisdiction's Designated Floodplain Manager/Administrator (may also be called NFIP Coordinator)	Is this person is a Certified Floodplain Manager?	Is floodplain management an auxiliary function?	Is your community in good standing with the NFIP?
2009	01/11/1985	Code Enforcement / MS4 Manager	X Yes No	X Yes No	X Yes No
Provide an explanation of NFIP administration services (i.e., permit review, GIS, education or outreach, inspections, engineering capability, etc.):					
Review, issue permits, evaluate, educate, GIS, inspections					
Describe barriers to running an effective NFIP program in the community (if applicable):					
Maps are difficult to read					
When was most recent FEMA Community Assistance Visit (CAV) or Community Assistance Contact (CAC)?*	Is a CAV or CAC scheduled or needed?	Does the current floodplain management ordinance exceed FEMA or State minimum requirements? If so, describe how.	Is training of staff regarding NFIP issues planned?	Does your community intend to continue to enforce the floodplain management requirements including regulating new construction in Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs)?	Does your community intend to continue its participation in the CRS program?
Assistance after Hurricane Irene late 2011 early 2012	Yes X No	Yes X No	X Yes No	X Yes No	Yes X No
* Describe any outstanding compliance issues (i.e., current violations):					
Provide an explanation of your local floodplain permitting process:					
First apply for a Building Permit, then a Flood Plain permit and then the Flood Plain Manager determines issuance.					

¹ Your date of entry into the NFIP can be found at: <http://www.fema.gov/cis/NY.html> (column "Reg-Emer Date").



Does your community intend to continue floodplain identification and mapping services including any local requests for map updates? [X] Yes [] No	Does your community intend to initiate/continue the buyouts of repetitive loss properties? [] Yes [X] No	Does your community intend to commit staff or resources to improve local mapping or code administration in the future? [] Yes [X] No	Does your community intend to provide local outreach to promote the sale of flood insurance? [] Yes [X] No	Does your community intend to participate in RiskMAP meetings and planning initiatives? [X] Yes [] No	Does your community intend to continue to implement structural improvements to mitigate against flooding - culverts, drainage basins, etc.? [X] Yes [] No	Does your community intend to continue to implement home improvement programs designed to minimize basement flooding? [X] Yes [] No	Does your community intend to continue to implement roadway improvements to reduce damage from future flooding events? [X] Yes [] No	Does your community intend to implement plans and programs in coordination with a local or regional drainage/sewer authority? [X] Yes [] No
Does your community intend to adopt the new FEMA Advisory Base Flood Elevations? [X] Yes [] No								
* If you answered "yes", that there have been changes to your local program since 2009, please describe: The Town of Rosendale is taking steps to qualify for NFIP - CRS								
Provide a description of your community assistance and monitoring activities: Through the MS4 Program the Environmental & Code Enforcement Department does Community Outreach & Education & monitoring of all storm drains & outfalls.								
Through the MS4 program we do outreach education & monitoring of all storm drains & outfalls Environmental & Education								
NFIP participating communities are required to update/revise their floodplain management ordinance to ensure that it complies with the latest FEMA regulations. Will your community continue to commit to this program requirement? [X] Yes [] No				NFIP participating communities are also required to update/revise their floodplain management ordinance to be consistent with the latest <u>FIRMs</u> . Will your community continue to commit to this program requirement? [X] Yes [] No				

Note:

NFIP policy statistics by community are maintained at: <http://bsa.nfipstat.fema.gov/reports/1011.htm#NJ1>NFIP claims data by community is maintained at: <http://bsa.nfipstat.fema.gov/reports/1040.htm#34>

Information about structures at risk of flooding can be found in Section 3 of the Hazard Mitigation Plan.

All NFIP participating communities should encourage local residents to purchase and maintain flood insurance.



Ulster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Worksheet #3

Performing an assessment of Land Uses and Development Trends is one step of a FEMA-approved hazard mitigation plan update. This look into the future is important because development in hazard areas could put more assets at risk and, in turn, could work to increase potential disaster-related damages at a time when the mitigation plan's purpose is to reduce the potential for damages emanating from natural disasters. Land uses and development trends are based on the construction of new buildings, demolition of old buildings, or the planning, zoning or subdivision of new areas for development. A stagnant or decreasing population alone is not reason for stating that no development has occurred unless backed up by a lack of development.

An evaluation of land uses and development trends was undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the initial plan. Excerpts from the 2009 Plan's Land Uses and Development Trends section are included in the pages to follow, documenting your community's prior feedback. Please review your community's feedback shown in the table on the pages to follow, and identify any changes that have occurred since that time. Feedback should be returned to URS Project Manager Anna Foley at anna.foley@urs.com. If you have questions or need help, please email Anna or give her a call at 973-883-8562.

Please check box A or B below.

☐ **A) I certify that I have reviewed my community's feedback from the 2009 Land Uses and Development Trends assessment and that all information previously provided is still current. (If checking box A, then please return only this cover page.)**

☒ **B) I certify that I have reviewed my community's feedback from the 2009 Land Uses and Development Trends assessment and that any information that has changed since that time is clearly shown on the attached markup. Any supporting comments I would like to note are indicated in the lines below. (If checking box B, then please return this completed cover page and any pages with markups.)**

Name: Jeanne L. Walsh

Title: Supervisor

Representing: Town of Rosendale

Email and Phone: supervisor@townofrosendale.com 845-658-3159 x-3



Local Land Uses and Development Trends

For the initial Plan, Core Planning Group members were asked to provide responses to a Land Uses and Development Trends Questionnaire for the individual jurisdictions. This brief questionnaire consisted of the following two questions:

1. Please describe development trends occurring within your jurisdiction, such as the predominant types of development occurring, location, expected intensity, and pace by land use. While details are preferred, it is ok if your feedback is qualitative and quite general, such as *“high-occupancy, high-density residential development is occurring near the waterfront”*.
2. Does your jurisdiction enforce regulations/ordinances/codes to protect new development from the effects of natural hazards? (Some examples might be floodplain management ordinances enforcing FEMA’s NFIP for new development or substantial improvements in the floodplain; steep slope ordinances for community’s which may have landslide hazards; earthquake resistant design criteria and/or high wind design criteria; or buffer zones in wildfire hazard areas.) If so, please describe.

Responses to these two questions, as submitted by each jurisdiction, are presented in the following table (as copied directly from the 2009 Approved Plan).



Table 3d.6
Summary of Responses - Land Uses and Development Trends Worksheet – 2009 Approved Plan
(Source: Core Planning Group Members)

Community	1. Land Uses and Development Trends in Hazard Areas	2. Regulations/Codes/Ordinances To Protect New Development From Natural Hazards
Rosendale, Town of	<p>Rosendale is an area with much land that is constrained either by slope, flood plain or wetland. By contrast, Rosendale has a topography that seems almost corrugated in character. This is particularly true in the glaciated areas in the northern part of Town, among Binnewater Lakes. Heading south, these steep slopes descend to Rondout Creek. The Shawangunk Ridge rises just south of the creek. The only extensive flat area in Town is in the vicinity of Tillson (an area once referred to as Rosendale Plains). However, much of the flatland is located in flood plain. Consequently, unlike neighboring towns, Rosendale has little land that is easily developed. The Planning Board has seen in the past several years several large subdivisions, including lot line adjustments and minor subdivisions. The Planning Board also has experienced various site plan approvals. These site plans have been primarily on existing commercial structures where businesses have been revitalized, renovated, changed used, expanded or created. There has been a revitalization of the Main Street Business District. The Town Board recently approved an Economic Enterprise Overlay District to allow the redevelopment of underutilized buildings on the Rt 32 corridor and encourage reuse of abandoned schools and churches throughout the town. The Town has approved redevelopment of the Williams Lake Hotel site located in Binnewater. The proposed project is located on a 779 acre site that has a long history of industrial and commercial use, most recently as an outdated 95 room hotel with amenities and an internal road and trail system. The concept plan anticipates a LEED Gold-certified 130-room hotel, 154 for sale homes (attached townhouses and detached single family homes) and a spa and wellness center. The development will consist of roughly 66 acres of the 779, leaving the remainder of the property undeveloped. The Town has seen the restoration of the Rosendale Trestle opening up the rail trails. The Williams Lake Project has given public access through their property along the rail trail. These two things have increased the number of visitors to our town for recreation. The Town has opened up new parking lots and a Way Station to support the influx of visitors.</p>	<p>The Town of Rosendale currently enforces regulations, ordinances, and Local codes including NYS rules and regulations and Federal requirements. These regulatory requirements are applied when applicable to protect and promote public health, safety, morals, comfort, convenience, economy, Town aesthetics and the general welfare of the public. The Town has adopted local codes that enforce zoning, they are found in chapter 75. Chapter 75 Article V has regulation 75-27 that specifically addresses flood damage prevention. The Town's Local Codes can be viewed at Town of Rosendale's Web site. These are some of the Codes and Regulations administered and enforced by the Town:</p> <p>(Town of Rosendale Local Town Codes, Rules and Regulations; Ulster County Health Department and Other County Rules and Regulations; NYS Environmental Quality Review 6NYCRR Part 617; NYS Town Law; NYS Municipal Law; NYS Residential Code; NYS Uniform Fire Prevention and Building Code; NYS Vehicle and Traffic Law; NYS Wetlands; NYS Stormwater; NYS Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law; Federal Wet Lands)</p> <p>These Codes, Laws, Rules, and regulations are administered, regulated and enforced by various departments within the Town. Some Departments and Boards within the Town only regulate and apply code as a requirement, such as the Town Board, Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals.</p> <p>Whereas other departments such as the Building Department, Code Enforcement Officer, Fire Marshal and Police Department apply administer and enforce the Regulations and Laws when needed.</p>



Ulster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

WORKSHEET 4

Performing a Capability Assessment is one step of a FEMA-approved hazard mitigation plan update. A mitigation planning Capability Assessment consists of taking an in-depth look at community mechanisms (such as plans, codes, ordinances, staffing, etc.) that can affect hazard mitigation activities. Performing the Capability Assessment helps communities identify the regulatory, administrative, technical, and fiscal capacities and capabilities of their jurisdiction and consider ways that these tools can be used to further hazard mitigation and disaster resiliency goals. Capability Assessments were undertaken by each participating jurisdiction as part of the development of the initial plan. Excerpts from the 2009 Plan's Capability Assessment section are included in the pages to follow, documenting your community's prior feedback.

First, review your community's feedback shown in the three tables on Attachment Pages 1 through 3, and identify any changes that have occurred since that time. Check box A or B. (Note: Municipalities whose rows are blank did not submit responses in 2009, and must do so now.)

☐ **A) I certify that I have reviewed my community's feedback from the 2009 Capability Assessment and that all information previously provided is still current. (If checking box A, then please return only this cover page.)**

☒ **B) I certify that I have reviewed my community's feedback from the 2009 Capability Assessment and that any information that has changed since that time is clearly shown on the attached markup. Any supporting comments I would like to note are indicated in the lines below. (If checking box B, then please return this completed cover page and any pages with markups.)**

I have checked the Rosendale boxes to reflect 2015 not 2009

Then, review and answer the supplemental questions on Page 4. Check box C.

☐ **C) I have completed responses to the supplemental questions on Attachment Page 4.**

Completed worksheets should be returned to URS Project Manager Anna Foley at anna.foley@urs.com. If you have questions or need help, please email Anna or give her a call at 973-883-8562.

Name: Jeanne L. Walsh

Title: Supervisor

Representing: Town of Rosendale

Email and Phone: supervisor@townofrosendale.com 845-658-3159 x-3

Legal and Regulatory Capability

Table 4-1
Legal and Regulatory Capability Inventory

Jurisdiction	Building Code	Zoning Ordinance	Subdivision Ordinance	Special Purposes Ordinance	Growth Management Ordinance	Site Plan Review Requirements	Comprehensive Plan	Capital Improvements Plan	Economic Development Plan	Emergency Response Plan	Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	Real Estate Disclosure Ordinance
Ulster County							√	√	√	√			
Denning, Town of													
Ellenville, Village of													
Esopus, Town of													
Gardiner, Town of	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√		
Hardenburgh, Town of													
Hurley, Town of	√	√	√	√		√	√			√	√		
Kingston, City of	√	√	√	√		√	√	√	√	√	√		
Kingston, Town of	√	√	√	√		√							
Lloyd, Town of	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			√			
Marbletown, Town of													
Marlborough, Town of	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	√	
New Paltz, Town of													
New Paltz, Village of	√	√	√			√	√			√			
Olive, Town of													
Plattekill, Town of													
Rochester, Town of													
Rosendale, Town of	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		
Saugerties, Town of													
Saugerties, Village of													
Shandaken, Town of	√	√	√	√		√	√			√			
Shawangunk, Town of													
Ulster, Town of	√	√	√	√		√	√			√			
Wawarsing, Town of													
Woodstock, Town of													

Administrative and Technical Capacity

Table 4-2
Administrative and Technical Capability Inventory

Jurisdiction	Planner(s) or Engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and management practices	Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in construction practices related to buildings and/or infrastructure	Planner(s) or Engineer(s) with an understanding of natural and/or human caused hazards	Floodplain manager	Surveyors	Staff with education or expertise to assess the community's vulnerability to hazards	Personnel skilled in GIS and/or HAZUS	Scientists familiar with the hazards of the community	Emergency Manager	Grant writers
Ulster County	√	√	√		√	√	√		√	√
Denning, Town of										
Ellenville, Village of										
Esopus, Town of										
Gardiner, Town of	√	√	√	√		√			√	√
Hardenburgh, Town of										
Hurley, Town of	√	√	√							
Kingston, City of	√	√	√	√		√			√	√
Kingston, Town of		√		√			√		√	√
Lloyd, Town of	√		√	√		√	√			
Marbletown, Town of										
Marlborough, Town of	√	√	√	√	√	√	√		√	√
New Paltz, Town of										
New Paltz, Village of	√	√	√	√	√	√			√	√
Olive, Town of										
Plattekill, Town of										
Rochester, Town of										
Rosendale, Town of	x	x	x	x		x				
Saugerties, Town of										
Saugerties, Village of										
Shandaken, Town of				√						
Shawangunk, Town of										
Ulster, Town of	√	√	√	√	√		√		√	√
Wawarsing, Town of										
Woodstock, Town of										

Fiscal Capability

**Table 4-3
Fiscal Capability Inventory**

Jurisdiction	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG)	Capital Improvements Project Funding	Authority to Levy Taxes for Specific Purposes	Fees for Water, Sewer, Gas, or Electric Service	Impact Fees for Homebuyers or Developers for New Developments/Homes	Incur Debt through General Obligation Funds	Incur Debt through Special Tax and Revenue Bonds	Incur Debt through Private Activity Bonds	Withhold Spending in Hazard-Prone Areas	Other
Ulster County	√	√				√	√			
Denning, Town of										
Ellenville, Village of										
Esopus, Town of										
Gardiner, Town of		√	√	√		√			√	
Hardenburgh, Town of										
Hurley, Town of			√			√	√	√		
Kingston, City of	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			
Kingston, Town of	√	√	√	√		√	√			
Lloyd, Town of			√	√	√	√	√		√	
Marbletown, Town of										
Marlborough, Town of	√	√	√	√	√	√	√			
New Paltz, Town of										
New Paltz, Village of		√	√	√	√	√				
Olive, Town of										
Plattekill, Town of										
Rochester, Town of										
Rosendale, Town of	x	x	x	x		x				
Saugerties, Town of										
Saugerties, Village of										
Shandaken, Town of				√						
Shawangunk, Town of										
Ulster, Town of	√	√	√	√		√	√			
Wawarsing, Town of										
Woodstock, Town of										

Would you classify your community's <u>legal and regulatory</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>technical</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>fiscal</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your community's overall <u>administrative</u> capability to implement hazard mitigation strategies as high, moderate, or low?	Would you classify your political leadership's willingness to enact policies and programs that reduce hazard vulnerabilities in your community as high, moderate, or low - even if met with opposition? (i.e., guiding development away from identified hazard areas)?
Moderate	High	Low	High	High
Discuss ways of bridging identified gaps in your local capabilities to ensure that they are in-line with your mitigation actions and goals.				
<p>The Town will depend on assistance in funding if needed along with the NY Rising identified improvements.</p> <p>The town is in the process of installing a generator that will help support the technical & administrative areas. We are expecting DEC to install generator at the Flood Control Station to keep the system running during flood emergencies.</p>				

WORKSHEET 5 - STATUS OF PAST PROJECTS

Jurisdiction	Mitigation Action	Previous Priority	Hazard(s) Addressed	Responsible Party/Parties to Implement	Status - Check One			Status Details	Relevance - Check One		Relevance Details	
					Completed	Initiated but Not Completed	Ongoing Type of Activity		Still Relevant - Carry Forward to Updated Mitigation Strategy	No Longer Relevant - Omit from Updated Mitigation Strategy		
Rosendale, Town of	Provide a General Overview of how Local Priorities May Have Changed Since the Last Version of the Plan: The Town of Rosendale is particularly concerned about flooding due to the impact from Hurricane Irene and is taking steps to mitigate issues that were identified from this event. The town is currently working with NY Rising on James Street stabilization & culvert replacement. The town has identified additional stormwater impacts in the Washington Park region and are taking steps to mitigate stormwater impact. The town is doing a shared services project with NYSDOT to mitigate flooding on Route 32 and Washington Avenue. The town is applying for a grant to address stormwater infiltration in the sewer district to help alleviate the impact to the Sewer Treatment Plant. The town has coordinated with the Walkkill Valley Land Trust to put in new drainage at the entrance of Mountain Road and the Walkkill Valley Trail Head.										If you checked the box that the project is being carried forward to the updated mitigation strategy, describe it and how it has changed from the 2009 plan. If you checked the box that the project is being omitted from the updated mitigation strategy, provide a brief explanation.	
Rosendale, Town of	Various locations in the Town of Rosendale including but not limited to Rondout Creek, Walkkill River, Coxsack Creek, Cottskill brook, Dewitt Mill Stream, unnamed stream adjacent to River Road and River Road extension, Undergound storm water drainage in High Falls Park, Tillson Estates and Clark Estates have been identified. Mitigation codes so issues will be addressed during site plan review, sub division approval. Rosendale Highway Department replacing storm water basins and culverts, and US Army Corps of Engineers updating flood control engineering and mapping. Also public outreach and education, updating building codes, bank stabilization, erosion control and Emergency management coordination with Highway Department, Fire Departments, Police Departments, and EMS	High	Flood	Town Board, Planning Board, Zoning Board, Town Highway Department, Building Department	X			Most of the items are completed. We are working with NY Rising to complete improvements that were identified during Hurricane Irene.	X			The following need to be omitted as they have been completed: underground stormwater drainage in High Falls Park, Rosendale Highway Department has replaced and addressed culverts that have been an issue, Army Corp of Engineers have updated Flood Control after Hurricane Irene. Though the town is continuing to look for flood control and stormwater improvements through the Army Corp of Engineers and NY Rising program.
Rosendale, Town of	Various locations in the Town of Rosendale including but not limited to Joppenburgh Mountain, all former mining areas, NYS RT 213 corridor from Lawrenceville Bridge to Keator Ave Bridge, Woodland Drive at terminus, Mountain Road, Shawangunk Ridge, Creek Locks Road, Bruceville Road, School House Lane, Springtown Road, NYS Route 32 have been identified. Mitigation will include, bank stabilization, erosion control, culverts and ditches, removal of hazards through blasting and coordinating with Federal, State and County agencies to share mitigation tasks management. Coordination with Highway Department, Fire Departments, Police Departments and EMS	High	Landslides	NYSDOT, Ulster County Highway Department, and Town Highway Department			X	The Town does not have the resources to make these improvements.	X			The Town does not have the resources to make these improvements. Some of these items may be addressed through NY Rising funding.
Rosendale, Town of	Various locations in the Town of Rosendale including but not limited to Sturgeon Pool, Iron Mountain Dam, Mountain Road Dam adjacent to Binnewater Road have been identified. Mitigation will include coordinating with emergency action plans with Dam owners, requiring engineering reports and inspection, repair and maintenance programs or materials to property owners.	High	Dam Failure	Town Board	X			Mountain Road Dam & Binnewater Dam have been decommissioned to reduce risk.	X			Control Hudson is working on upgrades to the Sturgeon Pool Project.
Rosendale, Town of	Various locations in the Town of Rosendale including but not limited to Joppenburgh Mountain, Shawangunk Ridge, Mohonk Preserve, Bloomington Forest, Binnewater Forest, Burnt Swamp Preserve have been identified. Mitigation will include creating fire lanes and berms in the unbroken forested areas, using controlled burns, providing public education programs and materials to property owners.	Medium	Wildfires	Town Board			X	Most of these in private property.	X			Most of these in private property.
Rosendale, Town of	Mitigation will include coordinating with Federal, State and County agencies including the local jurisdiction to implement a readiness plan to address the various hazards, support local highway department with training, equipment and technical information also offer public education and materials. Set up a information page on our Town web site for the public to access and monitor.	High	No'ester, Tornadoes, Winter Storms, Hurricanes, and Earthquakes	Town Board	X			The Town can post an emergency box when needed.	X			Much of the Emergency Plan has been put in place and implemented during Hurricane Sandy. We will continue to update and improve our plan.
Rosendale, Town of	The Former Walkill Valley Railroad trestle and a communications tower located in Maple Hill have been identified as being vulnerable to severe categories cited in the Plan, including extreme wind storm, tornadoes, lightning, winter storm and earthquake.	High	Vulnerable Structures	Town Board	X			The Rosendale Trestle has been rebuilt. The Maple Tower now requires annual inspections to check for deterioration.	X			The Maple Hill Tower is a concern for the Town Board, Building Inspector and Planning Board. The Town is in the process of evaluating the base of the tower to determine whether it can handle the upgrades and additions that wireless companies require.



Ulster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Worksheet #6

For a community to succeed in reducing risk in the long term, the information and recommendations of the hazard mitigation plan must be integrated into day-to-day local government operations. Throughout the planning process, partnerships are formed between departments and agencies, and sustained actions between these partners will increase the community's resilience to disasters. "Plan integration" can be thought of as the process whereby each local government will incorporate the mitigation plan findings and projects into other planning mechanisms (governance structures that are used to manage local land use development and community decision making).

For this Plan Update, FEMA requires:

- a summary of each jurisdiction's past progress in plan integration over the last planning cycle; and
- a description of plan integration activities that each jurisdiction expects to undertake over the course of the next planning cycle.

This worksheet has been set up, therefore, into two components with each portion focusing on one of the bullets above.

- Demonstration of Progress over the Last Planning Cycle – In the first component of this worksheet (shaded in blue), we have reiterated the targeted plan integration activities that were included in the last version of the plan (Section 9, beginning on Page 9-4) in a tabular form, and are asking each community to describe their respective accomplishments since the plan was adopted five years ago.
- Targeted Plan Integration Activities for the Next Planning Cycle – Similarly, in the second component of this worksheet (shaded in yellow), we have outlined a series of plan integration activities for the next five-year planning cycle. Please document to FEMA the manner in which your community will commit to integrate the hazard mitigation plan into your local government operations from this point forward.

Where integration activities are carried out by another jurisdiction through an intergovernmental agreement or by another layer of government (such as the county), please include a note on the bottom of this form and reference the section number on this form that the note is referring too.

Feedback should be returned to URS Project Manager Anna Foley at anna.foley@urs.com. If you have questions or need help, please email Anna or give her a call at 973-883-8562.

Name: Jeanne L. Walsh

Title: Supervisor

Representing: Town of Rosendale

Email and Phone: supervisor@townofrosendale.com 845-658-3159 x-3

DEMONSTRATION OF PAST PROGRESS OVER THE LAST PLANNING CYCLE

Please check all activities that your municipality has undertaken over the last five years.

1. Check here if you (or your predecessor on the CPG) issued a letter to each of your community's department heads to solicit their support and explore opportunities for integrating hazard mitigation planning objectives into their daily activities?	X
2. Check here if you (or your predecessor on the CPG) worked with your planning department to educate them on the Hazard Mitigation Plan and encourage that on the next update of your master plan, general or comprehensive plan, hazard mitigation for natural hazards is addressed. Please describe your coordination efforts in the space below.	
B. Check here if your municipality does not have a master, general, or comprehensive plan.	
C. Check here if your master, general or comprehensive was updated since 2009.	
D. If you checked box 2C, please check here if natural hazard risk/mitigation was incorporated into the updated document, and describe how in the space below.	
E. If you checked box 2C, please check here if a specific hazard mitigation element was added to the document, and describe in the space below.	
3. Check here if you (or your predecessor on the CPG) coordinated with your building department to ensure that they have adopted and are enforcing the minimum standards established in the current State-adopted IBC. Please describe your coordination efforts in the space below. <i>They are standard operating procedures. Our Building Inspector oversees all projects.</i>	X
4. A. If your community participates in the NFIP and was only enforcing minimum requirements at the time of the first plan's adoption; check here if you (or your predecessor on the CPG) coordinated with your Floodplain Administrator to determine if enforcement beyond FEMA minimum requirements would be prudent for your community. Please describe your coordination efforts in the space below.	

B. Check here if your community was already enforcing regulations beyond FEMA minimum requirements.	
C. Briefly explain here what is currently being enforced beyond FEMA minimum requirements.	
D. Check here if your community has maintained its participation in the NFIP's Community Rating System (CRS).	
E. Check here if your community has joined the CRS since 2009.	
F. Check here if your community has dropped out of the CRS since 2009.	
5. A. Check here if your community has a local zoning ordinance. B. Check here if you (or your predecessor on the CPG) worked with your local zoning board to educate them on the Hazard Mitigation Plan and encourage consideration of low occupancy, low-density zoning in hazard areas, when practicable. Please describe your coordination efforts in the space below.	
6. A. Check here if any job descriptions were revised to include mitigation-related duties to further institutionalize mitigation. B. If so, describe. <i>The Building Inspector is also the MS4 Manager</i>	X
7. A. Check here if capital or operating budgets were revised to include a line item for mitigation project funding. B. Check here if capital or operating budgets already included this when the plan was first adopted.	X X
8. A. Check here if your Department of Public Works has adopted more rigorous procedures for inspecting and cleaning debris from streams and ditches (i.e., more frequently). B. If so, describe. <i>The Town of Rosendale is a MS4 District and follows all required cleaning and maintenance not only to the District but throughout the whole Town.</i>	X
9. A. Check here if your Planning Department has added hazard vulnerability to subdivision and site plan review criteria. B. If so, describe. <i>Planning Board follows Flood Maps</i>	X
10. (NOTE: A response to #10 is only required for UCECEM; all municipalities, please leave blank) Check here if UCECEM sought to identify a community conservation society or other interested voluntary organization to perform inventories of historic sites in hazard areas that might require special treatment to protect them from specific hazards. B. If so, describe.	

Community Name Town of Rosendale

11. Check here if your community has reached out to partner groups in the community (i.e., nonprofit organizations, businesses, etc.) to identify those who may be willing to donate goods or services and create a database of contact information and indicated goods/services. B. If so, describe. <i>Local churches & Red Cross</i>	X
12. Check here if your community has actively sought citizen volunteers to help implement mitigation programs and activities. B. If so, please describe. <i>Rosendale Commission for Conservation of the Environment takes an active role in educating the public on stormwater controls, stream care and pollution prevention.</i>	X
13. A. (NOTE: A response to #13A is only required for UCECEM; all municipalities, please leave blank) Check here if a list was compiled of state agencies that can lend their time, expertise and funds to the implementation of hazard mitigation projects. B. (NOTE: A response to #13A is only required for UCECEM; all municipalities, please leave blank) Check here if assistance was provided to participating jurisdictions in reaching out to state agencies for support. C. If A or B was checked, please describe.	
14. A. Check here if your community has reached out to colleges and universities for technical assistance with hazard mitigation activities. B. If so, please describe.	
15. A. Check here if your community has met at least once with your local library staff members to discuss the mitigation plan so they are well-versed in its purpose and understand where to direct interested parties for more information, to provide feedback, or to become involved. B. If so, please describe.	

TARGETED PLAN INTEGRATION ACTIVITIES FOR THE NEXT PLANNING CYCLE

Please check all activities that your municipality is committing to undertake over the next five years.

1. CPG member will issue a letter to each department head to solicit their support and explore opportunities for further integration of hazard mitigation into the daily activities of the community as a whole.	
2. A. Incorporate hazard mitigation for natural hazards in the next update of your master, general or comprehensive plan.	X
B. Add a specific hazard mitigation element to the next update of your master, general or comprehensive plan.	X
3. Adopt and enforce the minimum building standards established in the current State-adopted IBC	
4. A. Maintain community participation in FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program.	
B. Enforce codes and standards beyond FEMA minimum requirements.	
C. Join (or continue to maintain participation in) the NFIP's Community Rating System.	
5. Steer growth and development away from high risk locations by using the risk assessment from the hazard mitigation plan as a tool to monitor future updates of community land use plans, zoning and subdivision codes and the development review process.	
6. Modify work plans, policies or procedures to include hazard mitigation concepts/activities.	
7. Revise job descriptions to include mitigation-related duties to further institutionalize mitigation.	
8. Revise capital or operating budgets to include a line item for mitigation project funding.	
9. Issue directives to require departments/agencies in the community to carry out certain hazard mitigation activities.	X
10. Require the Department of Public Works to inspect and clean debris from streams and ditches more frequently.	
11. Add hazard vulnerability to subdivision and site plan review criteria.	
12. Perform inventories of historic sites in hazard areas in your community to identify where special treatment may be needed to protect them from specific natural hazards.	
13. Reach out to state agencies for assistance with natural hazard mitigation activities.	
14. Reach out to colleges and universities for technical assistance with natural hazard mitigation activities.	X
15. Adopt (or continue to enforce) a local stormwater management plan/ordinance.	X
16. Protect life and property in high hazard areas by limiting densities of new development.	
17. Increase resilience by limiting the extension of public infrastructure in high hazard areas.	
18. Reduce the vulnerability of future development in high hazard areas by reviewing development regulations, and modifying where needed.	
19. Use the risk assessment to inform future updates of the community emergency operations plan, evacuation plan, and/or post disaster recovery plan.	X
20. Implement hazard mitigation activities through existing plans and policies.	X
21. Sponsor training on best practices for hazard mitigation for local government staff. (Note: this may be accomplished with other local governments.)	X

PLACEHOLDER - WORKSHEET 7s

PLACEHOLDER - OUTREACH LOG